UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,124	01/28/2004	Koichi Tamura	045054-0157	2246
	7590 02/06/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007		BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary 10/765,124 TAMURA, KOICHI Examiner Art Unit						
Christopher M. Brandt 2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s). <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11,13-17 and 19-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6, 12, 18, and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	-					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 USC 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements submitted on January 28, 2004 and January 13, 2005 have been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Path Searching Circuit, Path Searching Method, and Path Searching Program in a CDMA Communication System in Order to Be Quickly Tracked and Responded to Enable an Excellent Signal Receiving Characteristic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2617

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson (US PGPUB 2005/0271122 A1) in view of Hayata (US Patent 6,356,542 B1).

Consider claim 1. Jonsson discloses path searching circuit employed in a WCDMA communication system comprising (paragraph 27):

a weighing controlling section to monitor a change of a phase angle of a sample of each of two or more delay profiles to be used in same power adding processing in delay profile calculation for path search processes and to assign weight to a power level of a specified sample according to a result from the monitoring (paragraph 71, read as the path-searcher 11 of the receiver 10 is run to derive the current power delay profile. The delay powers received during the current path-searcher activation are first selected with the largest powers. Each selected power is ranked and given a ranking weight. In addition, the contribution of delay number 4 is added to the power delay profile discrepancy variable).

Although Jonsson discloses the claimed invention he fails to explicitly teach that the invention is employed in a CDMA communication system.

However, Hayata discloses a CDMA communication system (column 4 lines 36-45, read as a searcher circuit for use in a CDMA reception device).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Hayata into the circuit of Jonsson in order to obtain effective delay profile whose S/N ratio is suitable (column 4 line 45).

Consider claim 7. X discloses a path searching circuit employed in a WCDMA communication system comprising:

a weighing controlling means to monitor a change in a power level of a sample of each of two or more delay profiles to be used in same power adding processing in delay profile calculation for path search processes and to assign weight to a power level of a specified sample according to a result from the monitoring (paragraph 71, read as the path-searcher 11 of the receiver 10 is run to derive the current power delay profile. The delay powers received during the current path-searcher activation are first selected with the largest powers. Each selected power is ranked and given a ranking weight. In addition, the contribution of delay number 4 is added to the power delay profile discrepancy variable).

Although Jonsson discloses the claimed invention he fails to explicitly teach that the invention is employed in a CDMA communication system.

However, Hayata discloses a CDMA communication system (column 4 lines 36-45, read as a searcher circuit for use in a CDMA reception device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Hayata into the circuit of Jonsson in order to obtain effective delay profile whose S/N ratio is suitable (column 4 line 45).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 13. X discloses a path searching method employed in a WCDMA communication system comprising:

a weighing controlling step of monitoring a change in a power level of a sample of each of two or more delay profiles to be used in same power adding processing in delay profile calculation for path search processes and of assigning weight to a power level of a specified sample according to a result from the monitoring (paragraph 71, read as the path-searcher 11 of the receiver 10 is run to derive the current power delay profile. The delay powers received during the current path-searcher activation are first selected with the largest powers. Each selected power is ranked and given a ranking weight. In addition, the contribution of delay number 4 is added to the power delay profile discrepancy variable).

Although Jonsson discloses the claimed invention he fails to explicitly teach that the invention is employed in a CDMA communication system.

However, Hayata discloses a CDMA communication system (column 4 lines 36-45, read as a searcher circuit for use in a CDMA reception device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Hayata into the circuit of Jonsson in order to obtain effective delay profile whose S/N ratio is suitable (column 4 line 45).

Consider claim 19. X discloses a path searching program for having a computer execute a path searching method employed in a WCDMA communication system comprising:

Art Unit: 2617

a weighing controlling step of monitoring a change in a power level of a sample of each of two or more delay profiles to be used in same power adding processing in delay profile calculation for path search processes and of assigning weight to a power level of a specified sample according to a result from the monitoring (paragraph 71, read as the path-searcher 11 of the receiver 10 is run to derive the current power delay profile. The delay powers received during the current path-searcher activation are first selected with the largest powers. Each selected power is ranked and given a ranking weight. In addition, the contribution of delay number 4 is added to the power delay profile discrepancy variable).

Although Jonsson discloses the claimed invention he fails to explicitly teach that the invention is employed in a CDMA communication system.

However, Hayata discloses a CDMA communication system (column 4 lines 36-45, read as a searcher circuit for use in a CDMA reception device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Hayata into the circuit of Jonsson in order to obtain effective delay profile whose S/N ratio is suitable (column 4 line 45).

Consider claim 2 and as applied to claim 1. Jonsson discloses the method wherein said weighing controlling section saves a sample whose power level exceeds a power threshold value as a candidate for weighing control (paragraph 71).

Consider claim 3 and as applied to claim 2. The combination of Jonsson and Hayata disclose the method wherein said weighing controlling section, when a number of samples of a

Art Unit: 2617

candidate for said weighing control is 1 (one), assigns negative weight to a power level of the sample (See paragraph 71).

Consider claim 5 and as applied to claim 1. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the method wherein said weight assigned to said power level of said specified sample by said weighing controlling section is determined based on any one of a fixed value, a maximum power level, and an amount of a change in a power level.

Consider claim 8 and as applied to claim 7. Jonsson discloses the path searching circuit wherein said weighing controlling means saves a sample whose power level exceeds a power threshold value as a candidate for weighing control (paragraph 71).

Consider claim 9 and as applied to claim 8. The combination of Jonsson and Hayata disclose the path searching circuit wherein said weighing controlling means, when a number of samples of a candidate for said weighing control is 1 (one), assigns negative weight to a power level of the sample (See paragraph 71).

Consider claim 11 and as applied to claim 8. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the path searching circuit wherein said weight assigned to said power level of said specified sample by said weighing controlling means is determined based on any one of a fixed value, a maximum power level, and an amount of a change in a power level.

Consider claim 14 and as applied to claim 13. Jonsson discloses the method wherein, in said weighing controlling step, a sample whose power level exceeds a power threshold is saved as a candidate for weighing control (paragraph 71).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 15 and as applied to claim 14. The combination of Jonsson and Hayata disclose the method wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of a candidate for said weighing control is 1 (one), negative weight is assigned to a power level of the sample (See paragraph 71).

Consider claim 17 and as applied to claim 13. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the method wherein said weight assigned to said power level of said specified sample in said weighing controlling step is determined based on any one of a fixed value, a maximum power level, and an amount of a change in a power level.

Consider claim 20 and as applied to claim 19. Jonsson discloses the program wherein, in said weighing controlling step, a sample in which its power level exceeds a power threshold is saved as a candidate for weighing control (paragraph 71).

Consider claim 21 and as applied to claim 20. The combination of Jonsson and Hayata disclose the program wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of a candidate for said weighing control is 1 (one), negative weight is assigned to a power level of the sample (See paragraph 71).

Consider claim 23 and as applied to claim 19. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the program wherein said weight assigned to said power level of said specified sample in said weighing controlling step is determined based on any one of a fixed value, a maximum power level, and an amount of a change in a power level.

Claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2617

717 CONTROL 140111DC1. 1077 CC, 12

Jonsson (US PGPUB 2005/0271122 A1) in view of Hayata (US Patent 6,356,542 B1) and further in view of Higashi et al. (US Patent 6,026,115).

Claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Consider claim 4 and as applied to claim 2. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the claimed invention except wherein said weighing controlling section, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, assigns negative weight to power levels of the two or more samples.

However, Higashi et al. (hereinafter Higashi) disclose wherein said weighing controlling section, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, assigns negative weight to power levels of the two or more samples (column 5 line 64 – column 6 line 5, read as the paths whose amplitudes exceed the greater first threshold level are combined with other paths after the detection. It is noted that combined is read as weight since the combining is adding to another path).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Higashi into the methods of Jonsson and Hayata in order to implement high quality reception with reducing the distortion due to the noise (column 2 lines 35-40).

Consider claim 10 and as applied to claim 8. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the claimed invention except wherein said weighing controlling means, when a number of samples of said

Art Unit: 2617

candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, assigns negative weight to power levels of the two or more samples.

However, Higashi discloses wherein said weighing controlling means, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, assigns negative weight to power levels of the two or more samples (column 5 line 64 – column 6 line 5, read as the paths whose amplitudes exceed the greater first threshold level are combined with other paths after the detection. It is noted that combined is read as weight since the combining is adding to another path).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Higashi into the methods of Jonsson and Hayata in order to implement high quality reception with reducing the distortion due to the noise (column 2 lines 35-40).

Consider claim 16 and as applied to claim 14. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the claimed invention except wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, negative weight is assigned to power levels of the two or more samples.

However, Higashi discloses wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in

power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, negative weight is assigned to power levels of the two or more samples (column 5 line 64 – column 6 line 5, read as the paths whose amplitudes exceed the greater first threshold level are combined with other paths after the detection. It is noted that combined is read as weight since the combining is adding to another path).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Higashi into the methods of Jonsson and Hayata in order to implement high quality reception with reducing the distortion due to the noise (column 2 lines 35-40).

Consider claim 22 and as applied to claim 20. Jonsson and Hayata disclose the claimed invention except wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, negative weight is assigned to power levels of the two or more samples.

However, Higashi discloses wherein, in said weighing controlling step, when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is two or more and when a difference in power levels among specified samples is a change threshold value or more, negative weight is assigned to power levels of the two or more samples (column 5 line 64 – column 6 line 5, read as the paths whose amplitudes exceed the greater first threshold level are combined with other paths after the detection. It is noted that combined is read as weight since the combining is adding to another path).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Higashi into the methods of Jonsson and Hayata in order to implement high quality reception with reducing the distortion due to the noise (column 2 lines 35-40).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 12, 18, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 6 (and similarly claims 12, 18, and 24), the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the references Jonsson, Hayata, Higashi and a thorough search in the art did not comprehensively read on the limitations recited in the claims. Specifically, wherein when a number of samples of said candidate for said weighing control is 3 (three) or more, a difference between a maximum power level and a minimum power level is compared with said change threshold value or a difference in power levels among samples of delay profiles existing before and after one another in terms of time is compared with said change threshold value.

Conclusion

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2617

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

January 24, 2007

ON CORSARO NAMINE

MCKY PAT CENT